

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,409	03/18/2002	Douglas D. Olson	102031-201	5271
27267	7590 10/10/2003		EXAMINER	
WIGGIN & DANA LLP			TUDOR, HAROLD JAY	
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832		32	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, CT 06508-1832	-	3641	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application 10 088,4	Applicant(s) Olson	etal	
Office Action Summary	Examiner H.	Art Unit 3 6 4 1	Confirmation No.	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et beneath the correspondence	e address -	
Period for Reply			,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (in the period for reply is specified above, such period share in the set of extended period for reply in the set of extended period for reply in the later than three months are the set of the s	30) days, a reply within the statutory all, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTI	minimum of thirty (30) days will be consider	red timely. Ition.	
Status		22.05		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3-18-02,7-	23-03	·	
This action is FINAL.	is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under Ex parte	vance except for the formal Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	matters, prosecution as to the r O.G. 213.	merits is closed	
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 22-33		is/are pending in this ap	plication.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
Claim(s) 34-38		is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) 39-33		is/are rejected.	•	
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction	are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement.		
The proposed drawing correction, filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required	is approve	ed or disapproved by the Exar	miner.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objection	e accepted or object to the drawing(s) be held in	ated to by the Examiner.		
The specification is objected to by the Exami				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119 (a)-(d) or (f).		
All Some* None of the:				
Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rece	eived.		
I I Copies of the certified copies	Of the priority documents h	eived in Application No		
in this national stage applicate *Certified copies not received:	ion from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).	
in e translation of the foreign language	ge provisional application ha	as been received.	,	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome. Attachment(s)		§§ 120 and/or 121.		
Information Disclosuré Statement(s), PTO-144 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	——————————————————————————————————————	nterview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Applicat	ion, PTO-152	
U.S. Patient and Trademark Office	л, г то- э4 0 (Other	X (

Application/Control Number: 10/088,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

- 1. Applicants have elected, with traverse, the invention of Group II, claims 34-53. Claims 22-33, drawn to the non-elected invention, have been withdrawn from consideration in accordance with 37 CFR 1.142(b).
- 2. Applicants' remarks pertaining to the restriction requirement have been carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. Groups I and II lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason: The method of Group I does not require the specific structure of the ammunition claimed in Group II, note for example claim 30. The search and examination of all the claims of the entire application can not be made without serious burden. Therefore, the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is made FINAL.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 39-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/088,409

Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

regards as the invention. Claim 39 is incomplete in that it does not state that the case of one round fired by the discharging apparatus serves as a projectile expelled by ignition of the propellant charge contained within the case of the next round which is an essential feature of the invention. The clause "said ammunition lacking a projectile having a mass in excess of a mass of the case", in lines 8 and 9 of claim 39, is misleading if not inaccurate. The member has a mass which is less than the mass of the case, line 32 of page 3 through line 1 of page 4. The phrase "of the type", in line 3 of claim 43, is vague and indefinite. Claim 47 is vague and indefinite in that it is directed to the combination of an ammunition and an industrial ballistic tool barrel which does not further restrict claim 45 which is directed solely to an ammunition.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,409

Art Unit: 3641

6. Claims 39 and 41, as far as they can be understood because of their indefiniteness, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsen in view of Staiger. Johnsen discloses, for example in Figs. 5, 7-9 and 12, a cartridge comprising a metal casing 126 and a cover 124. However, Johnsen does not disclose a case formed of a cast zinc alloy. Staiger teaches that it is old and well known in the art to form a case for an ammunition of a cast zinc alloy. To form the Johnsen case of a cast zinc alloy, as taught by Staiger as being an art recognized equivalent material for forming a cartridge case, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Page 4

- 7. Claims 34-38 are allowable.
- 8. Claims 43-53 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold J. Tudor, whose telephone number is (703) 306-4172.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,409 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 872-9306. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

HAROLD J. TUDOR PRIMARY EXAMINED